5.6 Strategies to Optimize the Delivery of EN: Discarding Gastric Residual Volumes

There were no new randomized controlled trials since the 2015 update and hence there are no changes to the following summary of evidence.

Question: Does the use of returning or discarding high gastric residual volumes (GRVs) result in better outcomes in the critically ill adult patient?

Summary of evidence: There was one level 2 study that compared the return of gastric residual volume up to a maximum of 250 mls vs. discarding the residuals.

Mortality: Not reported.

Infections: Not reported.

LOS: There were no differences in ICU length of stay between the groups (WMD -0.70, 95% CI -3.61, 2.21, p=0.64*. Ventilator days were not reported.

Ventilator days: Not reported.

Other: There were no differences in diarrhea (p=0.71), abdominal distention (p=0.07), or patients with hyperglycemia (p=0.55), while the episodes of delayed gastric emptying were significantly lower in the GRV return group (p=0.001).

Conclusions:

1) Re-feeding GRVs is not associated with more gastric complications when compared to discarding GRVs.

Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis. Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.

Critical Care Nutrition: Systematic Reviews December 2018

Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating gastric residual volume in critically ill patients

Study	Population	Methods (score)	Intervention	Mortalit	y # (%)†	Infections #	ŧ (%) ‡
1) Juve-Udina 2009	ICU patients fed via EN or PN N=125	C.Random: no ITT: No Blinding: No (5)	GRV>250 mL discard excess, reefed 250mL vs. if GRV>250 mL discard entire feed	GRV return NR	GRV discard NR	GRV return (NR	G RV discard NR

Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating gastric residual volume in critically ill patients (Continued)

Study	Length of Stay		Mechanical Ventilation		Other		
					GRV return	GRV discard	
1) Juve-Udina	GRV return	GRV discard	GRV return	GRV discard	Diarrhea		
•	ICU	ICU	NR	NR	25/61 (41)	22/61 (36), p=0.71	
2009	16 ± 8.1 (61)	16.7 ± 8.3 (61)			Abdominal distention		
					13/61 (21)	17/61 (29), p=0.07	
					Patients with hyperglycemia		
					41/61 (67)		
					# episodes of Hyperglycemia		
						1376 (53), p=0.001	
					# episodes delayed gastric emptying		
					2170	2580, p=0.001	
					Mean administered of EN (ml)		
					1296.3		
					Mean EN duration (days)		
					8.2 ± 4.2		
					EN feeding delays, patient, no, (%)		
						8 (22.2), p=0.91	
					EN feeding delays, episodes, mean		
					1.68	2.26, p=0.11	

C.Random: concealed randomization

† presumed hospital mortality unless otherwise specified

 \pm (): mean \pm Standard deviation (number)

EN: Enteral nutrition

ITT: intent to treat; NA: not available GRV: gastric residual volume ‡ refers to the # of patients with infections unless specified ICU: Intensive care unit